

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/736,450	Applicant(s) HUGHES, RODNEY A.	
	Examiner Tuan T. Lam	Art Unit 2816	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan T. Lam.

(2) Attorney David M. Doyle (Reg. no. 43,596).

Date of Interview: 12 January 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24, 26, 30, 32, 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 24, 26, 30, 32 and 36 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


TUAN T. LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)